

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/888,291	(06/21/2001	Gerald P. Roston		9558	
	7590	04/29/2002				
James Riege			EXAMINER			
Immersion C 801 Fox Land		n	RO, BENTSU			
San Jose, CA 95131				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2837	Ц	
				DATE MAILED: 04/29/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·									
•		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		09/888,29	1	ROSTON ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Bentsu Ro		2837					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims (A) Claim(a) 1.33 is/are pending in the application									
•	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) <u>25-33</u> is/are allowed.								
·	 ☐ Claim(s) 23-33 is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	quirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)	objected to by the Exar	miner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·	· <u> </u>	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 09/888291

Art Unit: 2837

FIRST OFFICE ACTION

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-24 of prior U.S. Patent No. RE37,374 E. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. Claims 25-33 are allowable.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claim 25 is similar to claim 25 of US Patent No. RE37,374 E with additional limitation

"and said controller sending data to said host computer system, said data responsive to user manipulation of said user-interactable member."

This limitation is not obvious and further, no prior art teaches the subject matter of claim 25, therefore, claims 25-33 are allowable.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bentsu Ro at telephone number (703) 308-3656.

Benton Ro DENTSU RO PRIMARY FRAMINEP

April 24, 2002